



- A total of one (1) labeled, pad-mounted transformers was observed on the west side of the subject property. The transformer is reportedly owned by Excel Energy and is labeled as “Non PCB”. No evidence of dielectric fluid spills was observed around the transformers.

## **7.6 WASTE WATER AND STORM WATER DISCHARGE**

The subject property was assessed for evidence of waste or process water discharges (if any) and storm water discharges. For purposes of this assessment, this generally includes other than domestic waste water from sinks and toilets. In addition, properly functioning septic systems used strictly for residential and most commercial operations generally do not represent a cause for concern. Exceptions can include those instances where hazardous substances/petroleum products may be discharged through the system (e.g., spent solvents at an auto repair facility).

Wastewater from the subject property originates from sinks, showers, and toilets, and is discharged to Englewood Municipal Sewer. Floor drains are located in five locations throughout the facility and discharge to the sanitary sewer. A steam condensate pipe from the loose fill operation (contact wastewater) discharges water to the ground surface on the north side of the subject building.

The storm water runoff from the subject property flows via sheet flow to storm sewers on Navajo Street. The storm sewers discharge to the South Platte River.

The assessment consisted of noting evidence of the following: hatches, manholes, patches on the floor slabs, clean out access points; oil/water separators, clarifiers, sumps and trench drains; septic systems; pools of liquid (i.e., likely to contain hazardous substances or petroleum products); pits, ponds, or lagoons (i.e., associated with waste disposal or treatment); industrial or other process discharge sources; etc.

No visual evidence was observed, and/or no historical information was obtained, to indicate the current and/or potential past presence of the above noted features or discharges sources with the exception of a septic tank formerly present at the subject property, according to Mr. Marques. In addition, an equipment pit and sump were present in the west portion of the subject building. The equipment pit was installed in the 1990's, and the sump has a concrete bottom. No indications of significant staining or cracks were noted with the exception of a split in the plastic liner on the 6-inch (approximate) sump. In addition, Mr. Marques indicated that there was formerly a septic tank and leachfield on the subject property. No other information regarding the system was available.

## **7.7 WELLS**

The subject property was assessed for evidence of wells (e.g., dry, irrigation, injection, abandoned, monitor, supply).

No visual evidence was observed, and/or no historical information was obtained, to indicate the current and/or potential past presence of wells at the subject property.

## **8.0 NON-ASTM ISSUES**

The scope of services for this Assessment did not include an evaluation of any “Non-ASTM” issues (e.g., asbestos-containing building materials, radon, lead-based paint, lead in drinking water, wetlands, etc.)

## **9.0 FINDINGS AND OPINIONS**

This section presents a summary of available information on known or suspected *recognized environmental conditions*, *historical recognized environmental conditions* and *de minimis conditions* (if any) at the subject property. It also includes Bureau Veritas' opinion and rationale for concluding that a